Florida State University's Autism Institute

2023 Summer Training Institute on Autism: Advances in Evidence-Based Practice for Autism Spectrum Disorder

June 13 – June 15, 2023

Presenter: John N. Constantino, MD

Title and Format: Causation, Disparity, and Comorbidity in Autism: Clinical and translational advances from longitudinal research (PDF of PowerPoint slides)

Date: June 13, 2023

Brought to you by:







Supported by the Partnership for Effective Programs for Students with Autism www.doepartnership.org





Insufficient Evidence for "Autism-Specific" Genes
Scott M. Myers, ^{1,*} Thomas D. Challman, ¹ Raphael Bernier, ² Thomas Bourgeron, ³ Wendy K. Chung, ^{4,5} John N. Constantino, ^{6,7} Evan E. Eichler, ⁸ Sebastien Jacquemont, ⁹ David T. Miller, ¹⁰ Kevin J. Mitchell, ^{11,12} Huda Y. Zoghbi, ^{13,14,15,16,17} Christa Lese Martin, ¹ and David H. Ledbetter ^{1,*}
Despite evidence that deleterious variants in the same genes are implicated across multiple neurodevelopmental and neuropsychiatric disorders, there has been considerable interest in identifying genes that, when mutated, confer risk that is largely specific for autism spec- trum disorder (ASD). Here, we review the findings and limitations of recent efforts to identify relatively "autism-specific" genes, efforts which focus on rare variants of large effect size that are thought to account for the observed phenotypes. We present a divergent inter- pretation of published evidence; discuss practical and theoretical issues related to studying the relationships between rare, large-effect deleterious variants and neurodevelopmental phenotypes; and describe potential future directions of this research. We argue that there is currently insufficient evidence to establish meaningful ASD specificity of any genes based on large-effect rare-variant data.
SPORADIC AUTISTIC SYNDROMES ASSOCIATED WITH HIGHLY-PENETRANT DE NOVO MUTATIONS HAVE COLLECTIVE FEATURES THAT ARE NOT SHARED BY FAMILIAL AUTISTIC SYNDROMES:
near-universal association with intellectual disability

Recurrence Rates and Inherited Transmission in Autism 90% 20% MZ concordance: DZ concordance: Non-twin sib recurrence: 18% 6% 1% Half-sib recurrence General population risk Table. Autism Spectrum Disorder Heritability Model Comparisons and Parameter Estimates Model Comparison Measures Estimated Variance (95% CI)^a Diff Additive Genetic - 2LL P Value^c Heritability) No. of Model Total Genetic Environment Nonadditive (Broad-Sense Models^b Parameters -2LL Nonshared Genetic Shared Heritability) NA NA 0.4 .52 0.69 (0.40-0.86) 0.10 (0.00-0.38) 0.04 (0.00-0.14) 0.16 (0.05-0.30) ACDE NA 0.80 (0.59-0.95) 14 146 836 ACE 13 146 836 0.77 (0.58-0.87) NA 0.03 (0.00-0.13) 0.20 (0.13-0.30) 0.77 (0.58-0.87) 0.8 .38 ADE 146 836 0.80 (0.68-0.87) 0.05 (0.00-0.26) NA 0.15 (0.05-0.21) 0.85 (0.79-0.95) 13 CDE 0.64 (0.48-0.75) 0.25 (0.21-0.29) 0.11 (0.03-0.24) 0.64 (0.48-0.75) 13 146 856 20.9 <.001 NA 0.9 .64 0.83 (0.79-0.87) NA
 NA
 NA
 0.17 (0.13-0.21)
 0.83 (0.79-0.87)

 0.99 (0.97-1.00)
 NA
 0.01 (0.00-0.03)
 0.99 (0.97-1.00)
 12 146 836 AE NA NA DE 147 100 264 <.001 12 0.39 (0.37-0.41) 0.61 (0.59-0.63) NA CE 146 897 61 NA 12 <.001 11 147 996 1160 NA NA Ε <.001 NA 1.00 (1.00-1.00) NA Abbreviations: 2LL, 2 × logarithm of the likelihood; Diff – 2LL, 2 × difference in are shown in each row, which include additive genetic effect (A: inherited additive effects of different alleles), shared environmental factors (C: nongenetic influences contributing to similarity within sibling pairs), nonadditive (dominant) log-likelihood between the model and the full model; NA, not applicable. ^a The 95% CIs are 2-sided CIs. Variances are based on the tetrachoric correlations. The unadjusted tetrachoric correlation (SD) was estimated to 0.87 (0.08) and 0.40 (0.10) for monozygotic and dizygotic twins; 0.41 (0.01) for full siblings; 0.22 (0.03) and 0.17 (0.04) for maternal and Family and Fishings. genetic factors (D; interaction effects between alleles at the same locus), and nonshared environmental factors (E; making siblings dissimilar). ^c P value for testing the hypothesis: the parameters not in the model but in the full model are all equal to 0. ^b All models adjusted for sex and birth cohort. The genetic terms for each model JAMA September 26, 2017 Volume 318, Number 12 1183

4











Mous et al. Journal of New DOI 10.1186/s11689-017-9	odevelopme 212-y	ental Disorder Ca	rs (2017) 9: andidate	³² e #4 AD	HD		Neur	rodevelop	Jo omental Di	ournal of isorders
RESEARCH								(Open A	ccess
Attention non-spec	anc ific l	d mo oack	otor grou	defic Ind l	its i iabil	ndex ities	that	t	S. Mous	ark
Sabine E. Mous ^{1,2} , All	an Jiang ² ,	n <u>rec</u> , Arpana A	Agrawal ² a	and John I	IN S N. Consta	ntino ^{2*}	ys		Erasmus The Neth	University nerlands
Sabine E. Mous ^{1,2} , All.	an Jiang ² , analyses p	, Arpana A	Agrawal ² a	and John I	N. Consta	ntino ^{2*}	ys 	dal 4	Erasmus The Neth	University nerlands
Sabine E. Mous ^{1,2} , All.	ullsi an Jiang ² , analyses p Mo β	Arpana A redicting pai del 1 p	CUITE Agrawal ² a rent-report Μο β	ed autistic tr del 2 p	IN S N. Consta rait severity Μο β	ntino ^{2*} in siblings del 3 p	ys 	del 4	Erasmus The Neth Μοι	University nerlands del 5
Sabine E. Mous ^{1,2} , All. Table 4. Linear regression Proband SRS-2 score (teacher-report)	an Jiang ² , analyses p Mo 	Arpana A redicting part del 1 p 0.086	Agrawal ² a rent-report <u>Mo</u> <u>B</u> 0.26	and John I ed autistic tr del 2 p 0.098	IN S N. Consta rait severity Mo β 0.19	ntino ^{2*} in siblings del 3 <u>p</u> 0.109	<u>мо</u> <u>В</u> 0.19	del 4 p 0.111	Erasmus The Neth β	University herlands del 5 p
Proband SRS-2 score (teacher-report) Sibling TRF ADHP score (teacher-report)	an Jiang ² , analyses p Μο β 0.30	Arpana A redicting part del 1 P 	Agrawal ² a rent-report Μο β 0.26 0.45	and John I ed autistic tr del 2 p 0.098 0.005	IN S N. Consta mait severity Mo β 0.19 0.24	ntino ^{2*} in siblings del 3 P 0.109 0.066	μο β 0.19 0.25	del 4 P 	Erasmus The Neth β	University herlands del 5 p 0.063
Sabine E. Mous ^{1,2} , All. Table 4. Linear regression Proband SRS-2 score (teacher-report) Sibling TEF ADHP score (teacher-report) Sibling DCDQ score (parent-report)	an Jiang ² , analyses p Μο β 0.30	n rec , Arpana A redicting pai del 1 P 	Agrawal ² a rent-report <u>Mo</u> <u></u> 0.26 0.45	ence and John I ed autistic tr del 2 <u>P</u> 0.098 0.005	III S N. Consta Mo 	ntino ^{2*} in siblings del 3 <u>p</u> 0.109 0.066 <0.001	yς β 0.19 0.25 -0.60	del 4 p 0.111 0.074 <0.001	Erasmus The Neth β 0.24 -0.62	University herlands del 5 p 0.063 <0.001
Proband SRS-2 score (teacher-report) Sibling TRF ADHP score (parent-report) Sibling DCDQ score (parent-report) TRF ADHP x DCDQ interaction	an Jiang ² , analyses p Μο β 0.30	n redicting part redicting part del 1 p 0.086	Agrawal ² d rent-report <u>Mo</u> <u><u></u> 0.26 0.45</u>	and John I ed autistic tr del 2 0.098 0.005	IΠ S N. Consta rait severity Mo 	IDIIII ntino ^{2*} del 3 <u>p</u> 0.109 0.066 <0.001	Mo β 0.19 0.25 -0.60 0.03	del 4 p 0.111 0.074 <0.001 0.793	Mod Frasmus The Neth β 0.24 -0.62	University nerlands del 5 P 0.063 <0.001



cambridge.org/psm	for psyc disorder	chiatric a rs in sib	and ling	neuroo s	deve	elopme	ntal	ina risi I
Original Article	Elina Jokiran Auli Suomine	ita-Olkoniemi ¹ en ¹ , Alan S. Br	, Keely own ^{2,3}	/ Cheslack-F and Andre	Postava Soura	a², Petteri Jo nder ^{1,2}	oelsso	n ¹ ,
Methods Every child be	orn in Finla	and in 19	91-2	005 and	diag	nosed w	ith A	ADHD i
1995–2011 were identified on sex, place, and date of 1981–2007 and diagnosed 23 181 controls with 42 753 estimating equations.	from nation f birth. The d in 1981–2 3 siblings wer	nal registers full sibliną 2013. In to re included	. Eac gs of otal, in th	h case wa the case 7369 case e analyses	s ma s and es w s con	tched wit l controls ith 12 56 ducted us	h fou s wer 5 sib sing g	ir control e born i blings an eneralize
1995–2011 were identified on sex, place, and date of 1981–2007 and diagnosed 23 181 controls with 42 753 estimating equations.	from nation f birth. The d in 1981–2 8 siblings wer niatric and neurodevel	tal registers full sibling 2013. In to re included Hopmental disorder	. Eac gs of otal, in th rs among	h case wa the case 7369 case e analyses g the siblings of red ⁶ (model I)	s ma s anc es w s con cases al	tched wit l controls ith 12 56 ducted us ad matched com	h fou s wer 5 sib ing g	ur control e born i lings an eneralize ed ^a (model III)
1995–2011 were identified on sex, place, and date of 1981–2007 and diagnosec 23 181 controls with 42 753 estimating equations.	from nation f birth. The d in 1981–2 8 siblings wer biatric and neurodevel $\frac{\Delta E}{Case}_{n=7369}(%)$	al registers full sibling 2013. In to re included Mopmental disorder OHD*	. Eac gs of otal, in th rs among Adjust	h case wa the case 7369 case e analyses g the siblings of ced ⁶ (model I) (95% CI)	s ma s and es w s con cases at Adjust	tched wit l controls ith 12 56 ducted us ad matched com ed ^c (model II) (95% CI)	h fou s wer 5 sib ting g trols	er contro e born i blings an eneralize ed ⁴ (model III) (95% CI)
ADHD	from nation f birth. The d in 1981–2 8 siblings wer hiatric and neurodevel accession cases n = 7369 (%) 1134 (15.4)	tal registers full sibling 2013. In to re included dopmental disorder DHD [*] Control n=23 181 (%) 598 (2.6)	E. Eac gs of otal, in th rs amon Adjust RR 7.1	h case wa the case 7369 case e analyses g the siblings of (ed ⁶ (model 1) (95% Cl) (6.4-7.8)***	s ma s and es w s con cases al Adjust RR 6.5	tched wit 1 controls 1 contr	h fou s wer 5 sib ing g trols Adjust RR 5.7	er contro e born i llings an eneralize ed ^d (model III) (95% CI) (5.1-6.3)***
ADHD Conduct and oppositional disorders	from nation f birth. The d in 1981–2 8 siblings wer hiatric and neurodevel accession cases n = 7369 (%) 1134 (15.4) 705 (9.6)	al registers full sibling 2013. In to re included dopmental disorder DHD* Control n=23181(%) 598 (2.6) 461 (2.0)	Adjust RR 7.1 5.6	h case wa the case 7369 case e analyses g the siblings of ed ^b (model 1) (95% Cl) (6.4-7.8)*** (5.0-6.3)***	s ma s and es w s con cases au Adjust RR 6.5 5.0	tched wit 1 controls 1 contr	h fou s wer 5 sib sing g trols Adjust RR 5.7 4.0	er contro e born i lings an eneralize (95% Cl) (5.1-6.3)*** (3.5-4.5)***

ntips://doi.org/10.1038/s4	1398-019-0545-z		Translational Psychiatry				
ARTICLE			Open Access				
are gene social rec	enetically independent and influence reciprocity: evidence that polygenic risk is mediated by separable ents of developmental liability						
ASD risk elements Alexa Pohl ¹ , Warren Table 3 Results o	R. Jones ² , Natas	velopmental liabi sha Marrus ³ , Yi Zhang ³ , Ami Klin ² and . ision analysis examining the joint co	lity John N. Consta	ntino ⁴ three behavi	oral predictor	rs of autism	
ASD risk elements Alexa Pohl ¹ , Warren Table 3 Results o recurrence (measur behavior	G of dev R. Jones ² , Natas f linear regress red at 36-48 m	sha Marrus ³ , Yi Zhang ³ , Ami Klin ² and . sion analysis examining the joint co nonths) to variation in autism-relate	John N. Consta pontribution of ad variation ir	ntino ⁴ three behavion early childho	oral predictor ood reciproca	rs of autism al social	
ASD risk elements Alexa Pohl ¹ , Warren Table 3 Results o recurrence (measur behavior Outcome modeled	R. Jones ² , Natas f linear regress red at 36-48 m Adj R ²	sha Marrus ³ , Yi Zhang ³ , Ami Klin ² and . Ision analysis examining the joint co nonths) to variation in autism-relate	John N. Consta ontribution of ed variation ir	ntino ⁴ three behavi early childh t	oral predictor ood reciproca	rs of autism al social ∆ Adj R [:]	
ASD risk elements Alexa Pohl ¹ , Warren Table 3 Results or recurrence (measur behavior Outcome modeled SRS at 36 months	R. Jones ² , Nata: f linear regress: red at 36-48 m Adj R ² 0.35	sha Marrus ³ , Yi Zhang ³ , Ami Klin ² and . sion analysis examining the joint co nonths) to variation in autism-relate BPAR Biparental QAT	John N. Consta partribution of ed variation ir B 0.255	ntino ⁴ three behavio a early childho t 5.568	oral predictor pod reciproca Sig <0.001	rs of autism al social Δ Adj R ⁱ 0.06	
ASD risk elements Alexa Pohl ¹ , Warren Table 3 Results or recurrence (measur behavior Outcome modeled SRS at 36 months	R. Jones ² , Nata: f linear regress red at 36-48 m Adj R ² 0.35	sha Marrus ³ , Yi Zhang ³ , Ami Klin ² and . sision analysis examining the joint co months) to variation in autism-relate BPAR Biparental QAT Variation in attentional impairment	John N. Consta pontribution of ed variation in <u>B</u> 0.255 0.355	ntino ⁴ three behavion early childho t 5.568 3.996	sig 0.001	rs of autism al social Δ Adj R [*] 0.06 0.12	
ASD risk elements Alexa Pohl ¹ , Warren Table 3 Results of recurrence (measur behavior Outcome modeled SRS at 36 months	R. Jones ² , Nata: f linear regres: red at 36-48 m Adj R ²	sha Marrus ³ , Yi Zhang ³ , Ami Klin ² and . sision analysis examining the joint co nonths) to variation in autism-relate BPAR Biparental QAT Variation in attentional impairment Variation in motor coordination	John N. Consta portribution of ed variation in <u>B</u> 0.255 0.355 -0.242	ntino ⁴ three behavio early childho t 5.568 3.996 -3.830	Sig <0.001	rs of autism al social Δ Adj R ⁴ 0.06 0.12 0.05	











Neuron Review Can the "female protective threshold model explain set	effect" liability	Press 2022	Mones et al. Journal of Monodorelawarena (2000 Republication) 111 Illion 11609 (211-00399-8	ém 00111339 journal d Neurodevelopmental Disorders
in autism spectrum disorde	r?		Open Access	
Joseph D. Dougherty, ^{1,2,3,*} Natasha Marrus, ^{2,3} Susan E. Mal Tychele N. Turner, ^{1,3} Din Selmanovic, ^{1,2} Kristen L. Kroll, ^{3,6} D and Lauren A. Weiss ^{3,*}	oney, ^{2,3} Benjamin Yip, ⁴ Sven Sandin, ^{5,9,10} avid H. Gutmann, ⁷ John N. Constantino, ^{2,3}		Genetic counselir intervention: tow	ng as preventive ard individual specification
			Natasha Marrus ^{1*} ⁽⁰⁾ , Tychele N. Turner ² , Laura Klinger ⁵ , Christina A. Gurnett ⁶ and	Elizabeth Forsen ¹ , Drew Bolster ¹ , Alison Marvin ³ , Andrew Whitehouse ⁴ , J. J. N. Constantino ¹
Table 3 Summary of rec	urrence risk estimates for prospect	ive parents relative	to general populatior	1
	1.00	D 1 41		
Indicator of familial ASD li	ability	Relative rec	urrence risk	Source
Indicator of familial ASD li Mother with ASD-affected si	ability bling*	3 Relative rec	urrence risk	Source Bai D, et al. [18]
Indicator of familial ASD li Mother with ASD-affected si Father with ASD-affected sib	ability bling* ling*	Relative rec 3 2	urrence risk	Source Bai D, et al. [18]
Indicator of familial ASD Ii Mother with ASD-affected si Father with ASD-affected sib Mother and father with upp	abiity bling* ling* er quintile of QATs	3 2 1.85	urrence risk	Source Bai D, et al. [18] Lyall K, et al. [19])
Indicator of familial ASD li Mother with ASD-affected si Father with ASD-affected sib Mother and father with upp Either mother or father with	ability bling* ling* er quintile of QATs upper quintile of QATs	Relative rec 3 2 1.85 1.52	urrence risk	Source Bai D, et al. [18] Lyall K, et al. [19])
Indicator of familial ASD li Mother with ASD-affected si Father with ASD-affected sib Mother and father with upp Either mother or father with Mother with ASD-affected si	ability bling* er quintile of QATs upper quintile of QATs bling* and elevated QATs	3 2 1.85 1.52 [~6.5]**	urrence risk	Source Bai D, et al. [18] Lyall K, et al. [19]) Second Generation Project









Neuropsychiatric risk in children wit of genetic origin: IMAGINE, a UK nat Jeanne Wolstencroft, Francesca Wicks, Ramya Srinivasan, Sarah Wynn, Tamsin Ford, Ke Marianne B M van den Bree, Michael J Owen, IMAGINE Study*, David Skuse, F Lucy Ray	h intellectual disa ional cohort stud tte Baker, Samuel JR A Chawner, Jeremy F mond	ability (v y Hall,	CrossMark	
www.thelancet.com/psychiatry Vol 9 September 2022		Familial	de novo	p value
	Emotional disorders	78/529 (14.7%)	40/492 (8.1%)	0.0010
	Anxiety	77/529 (14.5%)	40/492 (8.1%)	0.0013
	Depression	5/529 (0.9%)	1/492 (0.2%)	0.12
	Behavioural disorders	101/529 (19.1%)	49/492 (10.0%)	<0.0001
	Oppositional defiant disorder	96/529 (18.1%)	48/492 (9.8%)	0.0001
	Conduct disorder	13/529 (2.5%)	4/492 (0.8%)	0.040
	Hyperactivity disorder	145/529 (27.4%)	69/492 (14%)	<0.0001
	Autism spectrum disorder	242/529 (45.7%)	141/492 (28.7%)	<0.0001
	Data are n (%) or mean (SD). Threshold correction method α=0-002. General pf (5 point Likert scale from very bad to ve for summary of n numbers. IMD=index: SDQ=Strengths and Difficulties Questio χ ² test of independence. Tcalculated usi responses. (The developmental quotier divided by their chronological age (0-lo	of significance corrected f ysical health was estimate ry good). IMD quintile 1=r of multiple deprivation. Ai nnaire. DAWBA=Developr ng two-sample Kolmogor It was calculated from prir w developmental level, 1=	or multiple comparisons usi ed using primary caregivers' nost deprived, 5=least depri 3AS-3=Adaptive Behaviour nent and Well-Being Assess ov-Smirnov test. ‡DAWBA s nary caregivers' estimates o .high developmental level).	ing the Bonferroni ratings on the DAWBA ived. See appendix (p 29) Assessment System 3. ment. *Calculated using skip rules affect numberc of the child's mental age

Intervention relevant to both DD and BH

- Positive Behavior Support
- Functional Communication Training
- Case Management
- In-home support
- Psychopharmacologic Intervention
 - Impulse Control
 - Mood Stabilization
 - Behavioral Rigidity
 - Aggression

Summary Interventions that are commonly implemented in the IDD service sector (e.g., functional communication training and positive behavioral support planning) are capable of mitigating severe behavioral impairment, yet rarely invoked when dual diagnosis patients are seen in the psychiatric service sector. Conversely, state-of-the-art interventions for traumatic stress, pharmacotherapy, and psychotherapy have proven capable of improving behavioral impairments in IDD but are typically restricted to the psychiatric service sector, where there exist significant barriers to access for patients with IDD, including limitations imposed by diagnostic eligibility and practitioner experience. Bridging these gaps in knowledge and clinical capacity across the respective IDD and PS service sectors should be of very high priority in strategizing the care and support of IDD patients with serious co-occurring psychiatric conditions.

Consequences of non-comprehensive treatment

- Low impact on adaptive functioning
- Languishing in scenarios of inadequate support
- Ineffective expenditures over years of time
- Injury, Traumatic Experience
- Incarceration / Placement
- Emergency Room Visits
- Delays in maturation

EXCESS COST POORER OUTCOME

25

Glossary	
Adaptive function	"The child's performance across socialization, communication, and daily living domains" [9]. Deficits in adaptive function may be influenced by symptoms of a condition but differ from symptoms in that they relate to general aspects of maturity and homeostasis that allow an individual to direct the course of his/her own behavior, pursue goals, maintain safety, contribute to the community through work and social interaction, and engage in fulfilling interpersonal relationships
Functional behavior assessment	Involves evaluation of the behavior and of the antecedent and consequences associated with the behavior. An assessment analyzes the precipitants of the behavior and proposes hypotheses about factors that control the behavior. The information gathered guides the intervention by altering conditions so that the desired behaviors are shaped and reinforced [10]
Functional communication training	Functional communication training involves teaching a socially appropriate communicative response that serves the same function as a problem behavior and therefore serves as a substitute for problem behavior. A functional analysis is conducted to identify the environmental events that serve as reinforcers for the problem behavior and the conditions that evoke problem behavior. A socially appropriate communicative response is selected and taught with prompting and a schedule of reinforcement that results in the appropriate response replacing the problem behavior. An example of this would include training a child to say, "help please" when engaged in a difficult task rather than screaming [11•]
Neurotypical	Exhibiting or characteristic of typical neurological development; i.e. pertaining to individuals who are not affected by a neurodevelopmental disorder
Noncontingent reinforcement without extinction	Includes the delivery of a reinforcer on a time-based schedule that does not depend on the individual's adaptive on maladaptive behavior. For example, noncontingent reinforcement without extinction may involve allowing an individual to access preferred items every 30 s, irrespective of the individual's behavior, and without any specific contingency for the preferred item that would operate to extinguish a maladaptive behavior [12]

Title	Lead author; Year; Citation number in reference list	Intervention modalities
IDD and aggression		
Aggression in autism spectrum disorder: presentation and treatment options	Fitzpatrick et al. Neuropsychiatric Disease and Treatment 2016 [2]	-Functional behavioral assessment -Reinforcement strategies -Functional communication training
Shaping complex functional communication responses	Ghaemmaghami et al. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis 2018 [36]	-Shaping -Functional communication training -Complex functional communication responses
Noncontingent reinforcement without extinction plus differential reinforcement of alternative behavior during treatment of problem behavior	Fritz et al. Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis 2017 [12]	-Noncontingent reinforcement without extinction -Differential reinforcement of alternative behavior
Meta-analysis of noncontingent reinforcement effects on problem behavior	Richman, et.al, Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis 2015 [38]	-Positive behavior support planning
Effects of mindfulness-based positive behavior support (MBPBS) training are equally beneficial for mothers and their children with autism spectrum disorder or with intellectual disabilities	Singh et al. Frontiers in Psychology 2019 [39]	-Mindfulness to reduce perceived psychological stress for both caregivers and children with IDD -Positive behavior support
Pharmacologic treatment of severe irritability and problem behaviors in autism: a systematic review and meta-analysis	Fung et al. <i>Pediatrics</i> 2016 [41]	-Risperidone -Aripiprazole
Effect of parent training vs parent education on behavioral problems in children with autism spectrum disorder: a randomized clinical trial	Bearss et al., <i>JAMA</i> 2015 [42]	-Behavioral parent training

IDD and Depression		
Multidisciplinary assessment and treatment of self-injurious behavior in autism spectrum disorder and intellectual disability: integration of psychological and biological theory and approach	Minshawi et al. J Autism Dev Disord 2015 [47 [*]]	 -Applied behavior analysis (ABA)-based positive behavior supports -Psychopharmacologic intervention
Catatonia in Down syndrome: systematic approach to diagnosis, treatment and outcome assessment based on a case series of seven patients	Miles JH et al. Neuropsychiatr Dis Treat 2019 [52]	-Pharmacotherapy and electroconvulsive therapy (ECT)
Non-pharmacological interventions for adults with intellectual disabilities and depression: a systematic review	Hamers et al. Journal of Intellectual Disability Research 2018 [55]	-Cognitive behavioral therapy -Behavioral therapy -Exercise intervention -Social problem-solving skills program -Bright light therapy
Comparison of behavioral activation with guided self-help for treatment of depression in adults with intellectual disabilities: a randomized controlled trial	Jahoda et al. <i>Lancet</i> <i>Psychiatry</i> 2017 [56]	-Individual psychological interventions: BeatIt and StepUp

Curr	Prychistry	Don	(2020)	1 22.0
Cull	rsycillatiy	nep		1 2 2 . 7

Page 9 of 13 9

Tītle	Lead author; Year; Citation number in reference list	Intervention modalities
Adapting cognitive behavioral techniques to address anxiety and depression in cognitively able emerging adults on the autism spectrum	Kems et al. Cognitive and Behavioral Practice 2016 [57]	-Cognitive behavioral therapy
IDD and addictions		
Acceptance and commitment therapy for problematic internet pornography use: a randomized trial	Crosby et al. <i>Behavior</i> <i>Therapy</i> . 2016 [60]	-Acceptance and commitment therapy
Efficacy of short-term treatment of internet and computer game addiction: a randomized clinical trial	Wölfling et al. JAMA Psychiatry 2019 [59]	-Short-term, manualized cognitive behaviora therapy, specifically adapted for internet/computer game addiction
Treating patients with co-occurring autism spectrum disorder and substance use disorder: a clinical explorative study	Helverschou et al. <i>Substance</i> <i>Abuse:</i> <i>Research and Treatment</i> 2019 [61]	-Cognitive behavioral therapy -Monthly ASD education and group supervision to therapists in substance use clinics
A feasibility randomized controlled trial of extended brief intervention for alcohol misuse in adults with mild to moderate intellectual disabilities living in the community; the EBI-LD study	Kouimtsidis et al. <i>Trials</i> 2017 [63]	-Manualized motivational enhancement therapy incorporating principles of CBT







Identification of missed opportunity

(Biopsychosocial approach to person-centered support)

- Absence of <u>history</u>
- Fundamental lapses in migration of <u>guardianship</u> / decision-making
 (near-complete "dis-integration" of therapeutic strategy of DMH and DSS)
- Incorporation of "identity" into a coherent positive behavior support plan
- Major gaps in application of evidence-base <u>psychiatric</u> intervention
 Medication trials
 - Specific evidence-based psychotherapies
- Rift in <u>alliance</u> between guardians and intervention team
- Unintended recapitulation of trauma
- Neglect of functional communication training
- Underutilization of "co-registration" in DD and BH service streams

33

Equity and Parity



ADDM Network Surveillance Data

- Overall ASD prevalence per 1,000 children aged 8 years became equal across race in 2006 birth cohort
- Disproportionate burden of cognitive impairment has been consistent across 2006, 2008, and 2010 birth cohorts. For the 2010 birth cohort, the percentages of children with ASD with IQ scores ≤70 were 49.8%, 33.1%, and 29.7% among Black, Hispanic, and White children, respectively (Maenner et al., 2021)
- Most recent median age of diagnosis: 50 months; 44 months for children with IQ<70

Key References

Baio et al., MMWR Surveill Summ. 2018 Apr 27;67(6):1-23. Maenner et al., MMWR Surveill Summ. 2020 Mar 27;69(4):1-12. Maenner et al., *MMWR Surveill Summ. 2021 Dec 3;70(11):1-16.* Constantino et al. Pediatrics 2020 Sep;146(3):e20193629.

1	2	E	-
5		-	

able 1. Selected Characteristics of t	he STI	. study	sampl	e at ba	aseline	(I), at	follo	w-up in	the 4	th ye	ar of life	e (II ar	nd II	l, as a fi	unction	of s	upple	mental	interv	ention),	and in com	nparison	to two	contra	ist sample	es (IV and)	√) .
																			IV. Cor	ntrast san	nple of						
																			later-d	iagnosed	Black						
																			childre	n with Au	utism from						
																			the pa	rent stud	у, MH						
																			10002	/, 3:1 mat	ched to						
			,				11. 10	ddlers v	vho rec	ceive	±.		III. 1	oddlers	who co	mple	eted to	llow-up	the too	idlers in g	group II by	V. Contra	ast sam	ple of t	oddlers en	rolled in M	H 10
	I. All	ASD TO	adiers f	or who	om the		supp	iementa	i interv	ventio	on and w	nose	- CC	ognitive	assessm	nents	butai	a not	core ea	ariy childi	1000 ASD	at Emory	Univer	rsity in A	Atlanta (En	nory partici	pant
	respe		Louis s	itents v	vere		cogni	tive star	us was	* ^{eva}	uated at	>10	in	toruonti	D-specii	icoci	st Loui	is sito	ADI r 1		rtaineu by	Matricor	at follo	eu usin	g the Rave	n's Progres	sive
	Baseline Follow up				Baseline Follow up					Baseline Follow up					Follow up		Baseline		Follow up								
	N	Mean	SD SD	N	Mean	sD .	N	Mean	SD.	N	Mean	sn.	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	SD	N	Mean	sr
ge at Diagnosis (in months)	52	29.6	5.4		Wicum	55		mean	50		mean	50		mean	50		mean	55	42	87.4	51.8	157	25.4	4.2		mean	
ge at Vineland-3	50	28.9	5.2	20	48.1	6.2	14	29.4	5.5	14	48.6	5.7	6	28.0	6.0	6	46.7	7.5	41	109.8	50.9	157	25.9	5.1	27	49.6	
ineland Composite Score	50	65.6	12.0	20	65.0	13.2	14	65.4	14.8	14	65.6	12.9	6	71.2	7.8	6	63.3	15.1	41	71.3	9.1	135	62.4	12.4	27	65.6	
ineland Communication	50	57.0	18.7	20	60.3	21.8	14	54.8	21.9	14	59.3	21.8	6	7172	7.0	6	62.5	23.5	41	72.3	12.3	135	61.4	13.5	27	62.0	
ineland Daily Skill	50	73.5	15.8	20	71.9	13.2	14	74.1	17.6	14	72.6	11.3	6	77.3	11.5	6	70.3	18.0				135	53.9	21.8	27	67.1	
ineland Socialization	50	66.7	12.0	20	63.5	16.3	14	67.9	13.3	14	65.8	13.4	6	66.5	15.5	6	58.0	22.2				135	67.8	15.7	27	67.6	
ineland Motor Skill	50	82.3	12.3	20	77.5	17.9	14	81.9	16.4	14	82.0	12.3	6	82.0	9.4	6	67.0	25.3				132	68.6	14.0	27	72.4	
ge at Mullen	41	30.8	6.3	20	49.9	7.6	13	29.5	4.03	12	49.6	7.5	6	26.5	6.3	6	49.5	9.2				131	27.9	5.1	28	49.6	
Aullen Early Learning Composite Score	40	57.2	14.3	19	61.1	15.2	13	53.6	8.37	12	60.7	14.0	6	61.0	9.7	6	64.0	18.9				131	57.9	11.4	28	57.8	
aven*				5	84.0	12.1													16	86.3	23.5						
Q Proxy (DAS/Raven/Mullen/PPVT)							_			_			_			_			41	77.4	20.3						
ge at ADIR				16	49.0	5.2				12	48.9	4.5				4	49.3	7.6							16	46.9	
DIR Social				16	16.3	7.1				12	17.8	6.1				4	11.8	9.1							16	13.8	
DIR Communication				16	11.8	3.1				12	11.3	2.2				4	13.3	5.2							15	17.1	
DIR Repetitive Behavior				16	4.2	1.0				12	4.5	2.3				4	3.0	2.2							15	11.3	

--"Intervention group" was substantially more impaired at baseline and gained an average of 7 points on Mullen

--One third of the children in both groups improved substantially on Mullen over the course of follow-up

--On Vineland Composite, 1 out of 5 controls improved (the others LOST ground); 5 out of 12 intervention kids improved.

The Youth Mental Health Crisis

Ken Burns Presents Hiding in Plain Sight June 2022



"You've got problems with access, the quality of care isn't what it should be, the cost...is insurmountable, there is a whole range of difficulties.

Most of the people who will benefit from mental health care are not <u>in</u> the health care system that we have.

I don't think that would be true for cancer, heart disease, arthritis, or asthma" Thomas Insel, MD (2022) Former Director, U.S. National Institute of Mental Health

37







Children's Healthcare of Atlanta



D-

Children's Board approved a **\$0.6B** endowment to subsidize new Behavioral and Mental Health programs in perpetuity for its population.

In 2022, the Legislature of the State of Georgia unanimously passed H.B. 1013 to enforce federal mental health parity law

41

TRANSI Bridg New	TRANSLATIONS Bridging the Divide Between Health and Mental Health: New Opportunity for Parity in Childhood														
John N. (John N. Constantino, MD [®] JAm Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry, 2023														
FIGU	JRE 1 Parameteriz	zing Nor	nquantitative Treatment Li	mitations											
	Psychiatric Diagnosis	How often does this condition occur in the population of the insurance pool?	Which evidence-based interventions are medically indicated for this condition?	How often should this service be rendered to keep the population mentally healthy?	Is the service occurring as often as would be expected for known prevalence	Are there adequate numbers of providers in- network to meet the need?	Does the insurer cover the cost of this service?								
	Diagnostic Indication for Medically-Necessary (Evidence- based) Service	Annual Incidence (Per 1,000 Covered Lives)	Medically-Necessary Evidence-Based Service	Expected Encounters/Yr Per 1,000 Covered Lives	Proportion of expected encounters per 1,000 covered lives ACTUALLY DELIVERED	Proportion of provider slots (work RVUs) necessary to meet expected demand that are actually available	Proportion of true cost of service, inclusive of care coordination, that is covered by insurer								
Example:	Major Depressive Disorder, F32	71	Management of established patient, CPT 99214	426	?	?	?								



